

Not Just Women's Business: Exploring Men's Involvement in Family Planning

Augustine Idowu Omoruan^{1*} ORCID: 0009-0008-4752-3307, Olusanjo Ayandiji Ayandele², Oluwaseun Foluso Phillips³ ORCID: 0009-0001-9121-0112, Akinfemi Philip Bamidele⁴, & Matthew Ayegboyin⁵ ORCID: 0000-0003-4999-7684.

^{1 3 4 5}Department of Sociology, Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Ogbomoso, Nigeria

²Department of Physiology, Faculty of Basic Medical Sciences, Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Ogbomoso, Nigeria

Abstract

Background: Family planning remains a critical component of reproductive health, yet much of the focus has traditionally been on women. Increasingly, male involvement is recognised as pivotal, particularly in patriarchal societies like Nigeria, where men's support or opposition can significantly influence contraceptive decisions.

Objectives: This study explored men's knowledge, perceptions, attitudes, and involvement in FP in Ogbomoso, Nigeria, and examined the socio-demographic and interpersonal factors influencing male participation.

Methods: A descriptive cross-sectional survey was conducted among 320 males aged 15–59 years in Ogbomoso North Local Government Area. Participants were selected through convenience sampling. Data were collected using a structured, pre-tested questionnaire and analysed using SPSS version 21. Descriptive statistics, chi-square tests, and binary logistic regression were employed to identify significant associations.

Results: While 89.7% of respondents had prior knowledge of FP and 84.1% recognised its importance, only 29.1% reported ever using FP methods. Misconceptions persisted: 77.2% believed FP reduces male sexual performance, and 66.9% perceived FP as a woman's responsibility. Monthly income was significantly associated with FP utilisation ($p=0.05$), whereas other socio-demographic variables were not. Logistic regression revealed that spousal communication ($OR=0.348$, $p<0.001$) and religious beliefs ($OR=0.341$, $p<0.001$) were significant predictors of men's approval of their partner's FP use. Key barriers included fear of side effects (28.3%), belief that FP is a woman's responsibility (27.1%), and religious beliefs (13.1%).

Conclusion: Despite high awareness, male utilisation and approval of FP remain low, influenced by spousal communication, religious beliefs, and socio-economic factors. Interventions promoting open couple communication, addressing religious misconceptions, and targeting low-income populations are critical for enhancing male involvement in family planning in Nigeria.

Keywords: Family planning, Male involvement, Spousal communication, Religious beliefs, Nigeria.

INTRODUCTION

Family planning (FP) serves as a fundamental support of reproductive health, playing a vital role in ensuring the well-being of both mothers and children. For many years, public health initiatives have predominantly concentrated on women, viewing them as the primary users of contraceptive services. However, there is an increasing acknowledgement of the significant role that men play in family planning decisions, particularly in patriarchal societies where traditional gender roles are deeply entrenched. In these contexts, male partners often act as gatekeepers to reproductive health choices. This means they can either support their partners in accessing contraceptive methods and related healthcare services or create barriers that impede their access to such essential resources (1). Their attitudes and involvement in FP not only influence personal reproductive decisions but also have broader implications for maternal and child health outcomes. By recognising and engaging men as integral participants in FP, public health efforts could enhance the effectiveness of interventions and promote healthier families, communities and the nation (2).

Over the years, the Nigerian government has come to recognise the vital role that FP plays in enhancing health and overall development outcomes (3). This recognition has sparked the initiation of policies and programmes to enhance access to contraceptive services nationwide. These initiatives are particularly focused on increasing the modern contraceptive prevalence rate (mCPR), with an emphasis on reaching married women of reproductive age. The goal is to provide contraceptive options and seamlessly integrate FP services into broader primary healthcare provisions, ensuring that these essential services are accessible and effective for all (4).

Several international development partners and non-governmental organisations (NGOs) have made substantial contributions to FP initiatives, complementing the government's efforts. Key organisations, including the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA), the United States Agency for International Development (USAID), the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, Marie Stopes International, Pathfinder International, and the Society for Family Health (SFH) have played a critical role in expanding these efforts (2,5). They have introduced innovative approaches, including community-based distribution models that empower local health workers to provide contraceptives directly within communities, mobile outreach services that deliver healthcare to underserved areas, and targeted behaviour change communication campaigns to raise awareness

and promote modern contraceptives. These programmes have helped to educate communities about FP options and encourage discussions around reproductive health, fostering an environment where individuals and couples can make informed choices regarding their FP needs. Collectively, these initiatives create a multifaceted approach to enhancing reproductive health, aiming for a healthier future for families in Nigeria.

Despite the concerted efforts made by the government and various organisations, the uptake of modern contraceptives in Nigeria remains alarmingly low. The 2018 Nigeria Demographic and Health Survey (NDHS) revealed a modern contraceptive prevalence rate of only 12% among married women (6). This unsettling figure starkly contrasts with the ambitious national targets for FP initiatives. This limited acceptance highlights a significant gap in reaching reproductive health goals and suggests that more intensive measures are necessary to enhance accessibility and usability of contraceptive methods. Research has increasingly indicated that the participation of men in FP can be a transformative factor in this equation (7–9). When men are actively involved in discussions and decisions regarding contraceptive use, it not only influences the acceptance of these methods but also plays a crucial role in their effective and sustained use over time. This involvement helps dismantle traditional barriers, often discouraging couples from exploring or utilising available FP options.

Existing studies related to contraceptive usage have largely focused on women, with relatively few studies conducted on men (10–15). The research on men's involvement in contraceptive use has examined various dimensions. For instance, a study conducted in Tanzania shows a high level of knowledge regarding contraception, with 94.6% for females and 96.0% for males, respectively. However, the study indicates a low level of contraceptive use among the participants (16). In Ghana, a study reveals a low level of male involvement in FP, despite a high level of awareness. Marital status, employment status, and knowledge about FP were positively associated with male participation in FP (17). A study in Pakistan highlights several factors that influence FP decisions. These include the desire for more children, lack of information, family dynamics, concerns about potential side effects, and the belief that FP contradicts Islamic principles.

In Nigeria, studies (8,18–20) have highlighted factors influencing male participation in FP. These factors include educational background, employment status, polygamy, cultural and religious beliefs, and the prevalent misconception that FP is solely a woman's concern. In addition to the

limited availability of FP methods for men, demographic variables such as age, occupation, religion, and overall knowledge play a significant role. A research study conducted in Niger State indicates a lack of spousal communication regarding FP services, with 66.5% of men reporting that they have never participated in any FP discussions with their partners (21). Conversely, a study in Owo, Ondo State, reveals that most men (96.4%) possess good knowledge of FP, although their active involvement in FP practices is moderate, at 50.9%. Additionally, findings concerning men's attitudes towards FP show that a substantial 75.1% disagree with the notion that FP is solely a female responsibility, and they believe that contraceptives are ineffective for men (22). While previous studies have investigated factors affecting male participation in FP on national or regional scales, there is a lack of localised studies that reflect men's perceptions, decision-making processes, and the sociocultural influences within semi-urban Nigerian communities like Ogbomoso. Moreover, there has been limited research into how gender norms and the dynamics impact men's roles in contraceptive decision-making. This study addresses this gap by exploring the contextual, relational, and attitudinal aspects of male involvement in FP in Ogbomoso, Nigeria.

Research Questions

1. What is the level of knowledge, perceptions and attitudes of men in FP decision-making in Ogbomoso?
2. What are the barriers to male involvement in FP in Ogbomoso?
3. How do interpersonal dynamics (e.g., spousal communication, decision-making power) shape men's participation in FP?

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Location

Ogbomoso North Local Government Area (LGA) is a prominent feature of Oyo State, located in the southwest geopolitical zone of Nigeria. The LGA is part of the larger Ogbomoso metropolitan area, bordered by Ogbomoso South to the south, Surulere to the east, and Orire to the north, with its northcentral boundary adjacent to Kwara State. The administrative centre of Ogbomoso North

is Kinnira, which integrates seamlessly into Ogbomoso town and serves as a hub for activity and governance. The area is characterised by a lively semi-urban atmosphere, hosting a diverse community engaged in various sectors, namely civil service, trade, vocational activities, and agriculture. A notable aspect of Ogbomoso North is its vibrant student population due to the presence of numerous educational institutions. Key institutions, including Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Bowen University Teaching Hospital, and Baptist Theological Seminary, play significant roles in fostering learning and innovation, greatly enriching the community's academic, economic, and social dynamics (23). According to the National Population Commission, Ogbomoso North has an estimated population of 198,859 residents, which includes 103,418 males; the estimated number of males of reproductive age (15-59 years) is 62,000 (24). This demographic information highlights the area's dynamic character and emphasises the importance of its diverse population in shaping the local landscape. The combination of educational opportunities, a vibrant community life, and economic prospects makes Ogbomoso North a noteworthy region within Oyo State.

Study Population

All consenting males of reproductive age (15-59 years) in the study areas were considered.

Study Design and Sampling

The study employs a descriptive survey design to examine knowledge, perceptions, attitudes, and men's roles in FP decision-making in Ogbomoso. It focuses on interpersonal dynamics that shape men's participation in FP. The study utilises a descriptive survey design, which is beneficial because it permits the use of a questionnaire and allows for gathering data from a large sample size (25). The following steps were followed to determine the sample size for the study.

The sample size for a population of 62,000 was calculated using Cochran's formula (26)

The formula is:

$$n_0 = \frac{Z^2 \cdot p \cdot (1 - p)}{e^2}$$

Where:

$Z = Z\text{-Score (1.96 for 95\% confidence level)}$

- $p = \text{estimated proportion (commonly assumed as 0.5 for maximum variability)}$

$e = \text{margin of error (commonly set to 0.05 or 5\%)}$

Substituting the values:

$$n_0 = \frac{(1.96)^2 \cdot 0.5 \cdot (1 - 0.5)}{(0.05)^2}$$

$$n_0 = \frac{3.8416 \cdot 0.25}{0.0025}$$

$$n_0 = \frac{0.9604}{0.0025} = 384.16$$

Thus, the sample size (n) is approximately 384.

The convenience sampling technique was employed to select participants, which means that individuals were chosen for inclusion based on their availability and accessibility to the researchers. This approach enables the team to concentrate on easily accessible respondents, making the recruitment process more efficient by prioritising those who were easy to contact and willing to participate. The researchers aimed to gather relevant data within a limited timeframe. The convenience sampling method allows for rapid data collection, but it comes with the drawback that the sample may not accurately reflect the larger population. Nonetheless, this pragmatic approach enabled the study to proceed effectively.

Participant Selection

A convenience sampling technique was used to select participants. It ensured that all males of reproductive age who consented were included.

Inclusion Criteria

Eligible participants were males aged 15 to 59 who expressed their willingness to participate in the study.

Exclusion Criteria

Males outside the reproductive age range of 15 to 59 years were excluded from the study.

Validity and Reliability of the Instrument

Experts in Test and Measurement from Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Ogbomoso, evaluated the items for face and content validity. This assessment sought to verify that each item was articulated, relevant, and appropriately aligned with the constructs it aimed to measure. In addition, the instrument's reliability was assessed through an internal consistency analysis, resulting in a Cronbach's alpha coefficient of 0.75, indicating moderate and acceptable reliability(25).

Data Collection

The study utilises a questionnaire design consisting of twenty-three items focusing on male involvement in FP in Ogbomoso. This questionnaire is divided into five main sections: Section A collects demographic information, including age, marital status, educational level, occupation, monthly income, type of marriage and religion. Section B comprises three items related to FP. Section C contains five items that address attitudes and perceptions. Section D includes five items on male participation in FP. Section E contains two items on barriers and enablers to participate in FP. The questionnaires were designed for self-administration to promote independent and unbiased responses. Two research assistants, who are proficient in translating English to Yoruba, were available to assist. The data gathering took place between January 13, 2025, and March 14, 2025.

Measurement of variables

The explanatory variables in the study were knowledge, attitudes and perceptions. The variables adjusted for in this study were selected background characteristics of respondents including age [under 30, 30–39, 40–49 50-59], marital status [single, married], type of marriage [monogamous, polygamous], level of education [none, primary, secondary, tertiary], occupation [self-employed, civil servant, student], religion [Christianity, Islam, Traditional] and monthly income [less ₦70,000, ₦70,000 and above]. The outcome variable was male participation in FP, proxied by two

answers, with an affirmative answer assigned “1” and a negative answer assigned “0” for the questions.

Data Analysis

A total of 320 (83.3%) questionnaires were retrieved and analysed using IBM SPSS version 21 (27) for frequency distributions related to the socio-demographic variables among the participants. Subsequently, cross-tabulation analysis was performed with the chi-square test and regression analysis to evaluate the relationship between variables and identify statistically significant associations.

Ethical Consideration

The study underwent a rigorous ethical review process and received formal approval from the Ethical Research Committee at Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Ogbomoso, under Approval Number: ERCBMSLAUTECH:090/01/2025. Before distributing the questionnaires, permission was properly secured, following essential ethical standards required for research. Informed consent was obtained from all respondents before data collection, ensuring the confidentiality and security of their information. The primary objective of the research was clearly articulated to the participants, promoting transparency. Throughout the study, there was a steadfast commitment to protecting the privacy of all participants, adhering to established ethical principles in the research process.

RESULTS

Table 1 presents demographic information about the respondents. Over one-third of the study population (35.9%) fell within the 30–49 age range, while a smaller portion (13.4%) was under 30. The sample is predominantly married, with 71.9% of respondents, compared to 28.1% single. The group is well-educated, with 95.3% having obtained some level of education. Employment status indicates that 87.4% of respondents are employed, whereas 17.2% are students. On income,

67.2% earn less than the national minimum wage of ₺70,000 (\$44.90) monthly. Moreover, monogamous relationships are common, with 55.6% of respondents identifying as such. Finally, more than half of the sample (55.9%) identifies as Christian.

Table 1: Distribution of Respondents' Demographic Variables

Variables	Categories	N (%)
Age of men in years	<30	43 (13.4)
	30 – 39	115 (35.9)
	40 – 49	100 (31.3)
	50 – 59	62 (19.4)
	Mean ± SD (40.16 ± SD 9.30)	
Marital status	Single	90 (28.1)
	Married	230 (71.9)
Educational level	No education	15 (4.7)
	Primary education	56 (17.5)
	Secondary education	146 (45.6)
	Tertiary	103 (32.2)
Occupation	Self-employed	172 (53.8)
	Civil servant	93 (29.1)
	Student	55 (17.2)
Religion	Christianity	179 (55.9)
	Islam	108 (33.8)
	Traditional	33 (10.8)
Monthly income	<₺70,000	215 (67.2)
	>₺70,000	105 (32.8)
Types of marriage	Monogamous	178 (55.6)
	Polygamous	66 (20.6)
	Not applicable	76 (23.8)

Table 2 presents a comprehensive overview of participants' knowledge, attitudes, and perceptions regarding FP. The data reveals a significant level of awareness, with 89.7% of respondents indicating prior knowledge of FP or contraception, while only 10.3% reported not having heard of it. This high awareness accompanies a strong sentiment regarding its importance, as 84.1% of participants acknowledged the value of FP for couples. Despite this awareness, the adoption of FP methods appears low, with only 29.1% respondents acknowledging utilising any form of FP. In addition, the perception of FP with religious beliefs is worth noting; 32.8% of participants expressed that they believe FP contradicts their religious principles, while a significant majority (67.2%) did not perceive any such conflict. Furthermore, the data highlights a prevalent concern regarding the influence of FP on male sexual performance, with 77.2% of respondents. Exploring the perceived responsibility for FP, the results indicate a gender disparity: 66.9% of respondents felt that the woman should primarily bear the responsibility, in contrast to 33.1% who believed it should be the man's responsibility.

Table 2: Respondents' Knowledge, Attitudes and Perceptions of Family Planning

Variables	Categories	N=320	Percentage
Have you heard about family planning or contraception before?	Yes	287	89.7
	No	33	10.3
Do you believe family planning is important for couples?	Yes	269	84.1
	No	51	15.9
Have you used any family planning method	Yes	93	29.1
	No	227	70.9
Do you believe family planning contradicts your religious beliefs?	Yes	105	32.8
	No	215	67.2
Do you think using family planning can reduce a man's sexual performance?	Yes	247	77.2
	No	73	22.8
The woman		214	66.9

Who do you think should be responsible for family planning?	The man	106	33.1
---	---------	-----	------

Table 3 illustrates the relationship between selected socio-demographic variables and the utilisation of male contraceptive methods among respondents. The analysis included a total of 320 male participants, out of which 93 reported having used a male contraceptive method, while 227 indicated they had not. Notably, monthly income emerged as the sole variable demonstrating a statistically significant correlation with the uptake of male contraception ($\chi^2 = 3.851$, $p = 0.05$). Other socio-demographic factors, including age group, marital status, education, occupation, religion, and types of marriage, did not reveal statistically significant associations. Thus, the prevalence of male contraceptive use was relatively uniform across these other variables, with only minor variations observed.

Table 3: Socio-demographic Factors by Utilisation of Male Contraceptive Methods

Variables	Yes (n=93) n (%)	No (n=227) n (%)	Total (n=320) n (%)	Chi-square	p-value
Age of male in years					
<30	10 (23.3)	33 (76.7)	43 (100.0)	5.785	0.123
30 - 39	30 (26.1)	85 (73.9)	115 (100.0)		
40 - 49	38 (38.0)	62 (62.0)	100 (100.0)		
50 - 59	15 (24.2)	47 (75.8)	62 (100.0)		
Marital status					
Single	31 (34.4)	59 (65.6)	90 (100.0)	1.759	0.185
Married	62 (27.0)	168 (27.0)	230(100.0)		
Educational level					
No formal education	5 (33.3)	10 (66.7)	15 (100.0)	4.441	0.218
Primary education	15 (26.8)	41 (73.2)	56 (100.0)		
Secondary education	50 (34.2)	96 (65.8)	146 (100.0)		
Tertiary	23 (22.3)	80 (77.7)	103 (100.0)		
Occupation					
Self-employed	47 (29.9)	110 (70.1)	157 (100.0)	0.145	0.93
Civil servant	30 (27.8)	78 (72.2)	108 (100.0)		
Student	16 (29.1)	39 (70.1)	55 (100.0)		
Religion					
Christianity	56 (31.3)	123 (68.7)	179 (100.0)	1.502	0.472
Islam	30 (27.8)	78 (72.2)	108 (100.0)		
Tradition	7 (21.2)	26 (28.8)	33 (100.0)		
Monthly income					
<₺70,000	55 (25.6)	160 (74.4)	215 (100.0)	3.851	0.05*

>₺70,000	38 (36.2)	67 (63.8)	105 (100.0)		
Types of marriage					
Monogamous	48 (27.0)	130 (73.0)	178 (100.0)	0.97	0.616
Polygamous	20 (30.3)	46 (69.7)	66 (100.0)		
Not applicable	25 (32.9)	51 (67.1)	76 (100.0)		

* =Statistical significance at p<0.05

Table 4 provides data on the frequency and percentage of various barriers reported by respondents regarding the use of male contraceptive methods. Since participants could select multiple barriers, the total number of responses (N = 1,027) surpasses the number of participants (N = 320). The most commonly cited barrier was "Fear of Side Effects," reported by 28.3% of respondents. A sizeable 27.1% of participants indicated that FP is primarily a woman's responsibility. Moreover, 13.1% of respondents noted "Religious Beliefs" as a barrier. "Inaccessibility of Services" was reported by 12.1% of respondents. Other barriers included "Cultural Beliefs" at 8.4%, "Partner Disapproval" at 5.9%, and "Lack of Information" at 5.1%, which were noted less frequently but are still remarkable.

Table 4: Distribution of Barriers to Using or Supporting Family Planning

Variables	N	Percent
Fear of side effects	291	28.3%
Religious beliefs	135	13.1%
Cultural beliefs	86	8.4%
Partner disapproval	61	5.9%
Lack of information	52	5.1%
The belief that it is a woman's responsibility	278	27.1%
Inaccessibility of services	124	12.1%
Total	1027	100.0%

Table 5 summarises a binary logistic regression analysis to identify the factors influencing respondents' approval of their partner's FP use. The final model included three variables: whether respondents had ever discussed FP with their spouse, whether they believed FP contradicted their religious beliefs, and whether they had ever accompanied their spouse to a FP clinic. The variable "ever discussed FP with spouse" emerged as a significant predictor of approval for their partner's use of FP. Men who had not engaged in discussions about FP with their spouse were found to be much less likely to approve of their partner's use of family planning, with odds of 0.348

(statistically significant, $p=0.001$, 95% CI= 0.037-0.166). Similarly, the second variable—whether FP contradicts their religious beliefs—also had a significant negative impact. Men who perceived FP as inconsistent with their religious beliefs were considerably less likely to approve of their partner's use of FP, with odds of 0.341 (statistically significant, $p=0.001$, 95% CI= 0.224-0.313). In contrast, the third variable, "ever accompanied spouse to a FP clinic," did not show significant results.

Table 5: Factors influencing respondents' approval of their partner's family planning use

Predictors	B (Regression Coefficient)	Adjusted Odds Ratio (aOR)	95% C.I. for OR		p-value
			Lower	Upper	
Ever discussed FP with your spouse?					
Yes	-3.304	0.348	0.037	0.166	0.001
No (Ref)	1				
FP contradicts your religious beliefs?					
Yes	-1.494	0.341	0.224	0.313	0.001
No (Ref)	1				
Ever accompanied your spouse to the FP clinic?					
Yes	-20.077	5801.355	0.000		0.997
No (Ref)	1				

DISCUSSION

This study examines men's role in FP in Ogbomoso, Nigeria. It delves into their attitudes, knowledge, perceptions, barriers to male involvement in FP, and the interpersonal dynamics that shape men's participation. The findings reveal a mature, mostly married, moderately educated, largely Christian, and low-income sample, with economic variation and a diverse marital structure that establishes a strong demographic foundation for analysing how these factors shape men's involvement in FP. The mean age ($40.16 \pm \text{SD } 9.30$) indicates that the study population primarily comprises adult males in their peak reproductive years. This demographic alignment is pertinent given the focus on FP decisions and contraceptive utilisation. The age range of the majority, 115

(35.9%) of the study population, was between 30 and 39 years, which aligns with similar findings from a study conducted in Ondo State, Nigeria (22). This could be attributed to the fact that most respondents were adults and in their reproductive years. A large proportion, 230 (71.9%), were married, and more than half of the population, 179 (55.9%), were Christians. This is similar to a survey conducted to determine male involvement in FP in Abia State, Nigeria (19). The majority, 178 (55.6%) of the respondents were monogamous. This contrasts with a study conducted in the Tarime district, Tanzania, which observed that strong social and cultural practices, such as patriarchy in African society, influenced the dominance of polygamy (16).

The group is relatively low-income, as more than one-third—215 (67.2%)—earn less than ₦70,000 (\$44.90), which is the National Minimum Wage. This could reflect the broader economic challenges facing Nigeria, including high rates of underemployment, dominance of the informal sector, and limited access to stable formal employment, and therefore, may influence FP behaviour. Most of the respondents, 146 (45.6), had secondary education. These findings differ from a study that reported that most respondents had a tertiary education (22).

The findings reveal a high level of awareness, with 287 (89.7%) respondents indicating prior knowledge. A significant level of understanding was observed in similar studies (1,7–9,17). The study shows a low level of utilisation, at 105 (32.8%), despite the high level of awareness. A low level of utilisation was also observed in a study conducted among male teachers in South-west Nigeria (18). A total of 105 (32.8%) respondents believed that FP contradicts their religious beliefs. Religious beliefs have been identified as a factor in decision-making regarding FP. These findings align with studies conducted in Sokoto State, Nigeria (28), and Buner, KPK, Pakistan (29). A striking 247 (77.2%) of respondents thought FP could reduce a man's sexual performance. This misconception is widespread and constitutes a major psychological or cultural barrier to male involvement. Two-thirds of the study population, 214 (66.9%), believed that women should be responsible for FP. These findings differ from a study conducted in Ghana, where over two-thirds (68.7%) of the respondents disagreed that total FP was an issue for only women (17)

In examining the relationship between selected socio-demographic variables and the utilisation of male contraceptive methods, only monthly income was statistically significant with the uptake of male contraception ($\chi^2 = 3.851$, $p = 0.05$). The results indicated that most respondents who earn more than ₦70,000 had utilised male FP methods (36.2%) compared to those earning below

₦70,000 (25.6%), implying that income influences men's participation in FP. This finding contrasts with a similar study conducted in a state in the northern part of Nigeria, where education was identified as a significant variable affecting the utilisation of male contraceptive methods (20). On the utilisation of FP, the most identified barriers by the respondents include: "Fear of Side Effects," reported by 291 (28.3%), "The belief that it is a woman's responsibility", by 278 (27.1%) and "Religious Beliefs" by 135 (13.1%). This finding goes in tandem with similar studies identifying barriers to male involvement in FP (1,16,19).

The logistic regression analysis indicates that two of the selected variables were significant. The variable "ever discussed FP with spouse" has been identified as a crucial predictor of men's approval regarding their partner's use of FP methods. Specifically, men who had not engaged in discussions about FP with their spouses were found to have markedly lower odds of approving their partner's use of FP, quantified at an odds ratio of 0.348, which is statistically significant ($p=0.001$) with a 95% confidence interval of 0.037 to 0.166. Moreover, the second variable, which assesses whether FP contradicts their religious beliefs, also significantly influences approval. Men who perceived FP as inconsistent with their religious beliefs demonstrated considerably lower odds of approving their partner's use of FP, showing an odds ratio of 0.341. This finding is also statistically significant ($p=0.001$) with a 95% confidence interval of 0.224 to 0.313. However, accompanying a spouse to an FP clinic does not appear to exert a significant independent effect within the context of this model. These results highlight the importance of communication and belief systems in influencing men's perspectives on FP within their relationships. These findings are supported by earlier studies by Waqar et al. (29) and Abubakar et al.(28), who have explored important sociocultural factors that influence men's approval of their partners' use of FP methods. Their research highlights the significant impact of religious beliefs and spousal communication as key determinants in shaping men's attitudes toward contraceptive use. Religious beliefs often dictate personal values and practices, influencing how individuals perceive FP. In many communities, religious doctrines may endorse or discourage the use of contraceptives, profoundly affecting both men's and women's preferences regarding reproductive health. For some men, adherence to religious principles can lead to misconceptions about contraception, seeing it as contrary to their faith. On the other hand, effective spousal communication plays a pivotal role in shaping men's approval of FP methods. Strong communication between partners fosters an

environment where both can discuss their views, desires, and concerns regarding contraceptive use.

CONCLUSION

The study reveals that while there is a high level of awareness regarding FP, actual utilisation remains low. Religious beliefs have been identified as a significant factor affecting decision-making in this area. This widespread misconception creates substantial psychological and cultural barriers to male participation in FP. Among the various socio-demographic factors examined, monthly income emerged as the only statistically significant factor influencing male contraception uptake. The logistic regression analysis highlighted two meaningful variables. First, the likelihood of men's approval of their partner's use of FP methods is strongly linked to whether they have discussed FP with their spouse. Men who have not discussed FP with their partners are less likely to support its use. Second, the perception that FP conflicts with religious beliefs also negatively impacts approval rates. Men who view FP as incompatible with their faiths show significantly lower odds of approving their partner's use of contraceptive methods. Therefore, policymakers are advised to: (1) support initiatives that foster open conversations about FP among partners. Educational workshops or counselling sessions can facilitate these conversations, allowing men and women to share their thoughts and concerns. (2) Develop targeted awareness programmes that involve religious leaders and communities in discussions about the compatibility of FP with various religious beliefs. Providing evidence-based information that aligns FP with religious teachings could help reduce misconceptions. (3) Since monthly income was found to be a significant factor in the uptake of male contraception, consider implementing financial assistance or subsidy programmes aimed at low-income families could help lower barriers to accessing contraceptive methods. The study contributes significantly to understanding the multifaceted nature of reproductive health decisions. It emphasises the importance of acknowledging men as active participants, challenging traditional views that focus solely on women. By doing so, it underscores how men's attitudes and support could influence their partners' access to contraceptive

methods and healthcare. It draws a connection between male involvement and improved maternal and child health outcomes, suggesting that engaging men could lead to better reproductive health decisions within couples and families. One limitation of this study is its reliance on self-reported data, which can lead to recall bias and may impact the accuracy of the reported family planning practices.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge the respondents for their participation in the study.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Kabagenyi A, Jennings L, Reid A, Nalwadda G, Ntozi J, Atuyambe L. Barriers to male involvement in contraceptive uptake and reproductive health services: a qualitative study of men and women's perceptions in two rural districts in Uganda. *Reprod Health* [Internet]. 2014 Dec 5;11(1):21. Available from: <https://reproductive-health-journal.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1742-4755-11-21>
2. MSI Reproductive Choices. MSI Reproductive Choices. 2021 [cited 2025 May 26]. Impact Report. Available from: <https://www.msichoice.org/>
3. Federal Ministry of Health and Social Welfare. Federal Ministry of Health and Social Welfare. 2022 [cited 2025 May 26]. Family Health Policy. Available from: <https://health.gov.ng/family-health-policy/>
4. Federal Republic of Nigeria. Nigeria Demographic and Health Survey 2023-24: Key Indicators Report [Internet]. 2024 Aug [cited 2025 May 26]. Available from: <https://dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/PR157/PR157.pdf>
5. FP2030. FP2030. [cited 2025 May 26]. The only global partnership dedicated solely to family planning. Available from: <https://www.fp2030.org/>
6. Abubakar IB, Abubakar HB. Nigerian women's modern contraceptive use: evidence from NDHS 2018. *Reproduction and Fertility*. 2023 Jan 12;5(2).

7. Ijadunola MY, Abiona TC, Ijadunola KT, Afolabi OT, Esimai OA, OlaOlorun FM. Male involvement in family planning decision making in Ile-Ife, Osun State, Nigeria. *Afr J Reprod Health*. 2010;14(4 Spec no.).
8. Olajubu AO, Ayamolowo SJ, Okiti OA, Olajubu TO, Adeyeye O, Olowokere AE. Determinants of male involvement in maternal healthcare services in southwest Nigeria. *Afr J Midwifery Womens Health*. 2021;15(1).
9. Enwereji EE, Ndimele EC, Enwereji KO. Involving Men in Family Planning: The Male Motivation Campaign in the Rural Areas of Abia State of Nigeria. *Journal of Therapy and Management in HIV Infection*. 2015;2(3).
10. Ojoniyi O, Frade S. Couple Asymmetries and Modern Contraceptive Use among Young Married Women in Nigeria. *Popul Rev*. 2023;62(1).
11. Omoruan AI, Phillips OF, Bamidele AP, Oduola TO. Voices Unheard: Exploring women's struggles for reproductive health autonomy in Ogbomoso, Nigeria. *International Journal of Sociology and Humanities*. 2025 Jan 1;7(1):137–44.
12. Kidayi PL, Msuya S, Todd J, Mtuya CC, Mtuy T, Mahande MJ. Determinants of Modern Contraceptive Use among Women of Reproductive Age in Tanzania: Evidence from Tanzania Demographic and Health Survey Data. *Advances in Sexual Medicine*. 2015;05(03):43–52.
13. Barrow A, Isah EC, Reynolds EM, Aisien AO. Women's socio-demographic and cultural factors influencing unmet need for family planning in rural areas of The Gambia: Evidence from a population-based analytical cross-sectional study. *Global Journal of Epidemiology and Infectious Diseases*. 2022 Jun 5;2(2):50–68.
14. Andeskebtso AY, Ugochukwu NJ. Impact of Socio-economic Factors on Women's Family Planning Decisions in Taraba State, Nigeria. *Jalingo Journal of Social and Management Sciences*. 2023;4(4):262–75.
15. Maigoro AM, Usman US, Abdullahi AI, Jigawa AS, Muhammad MT, Hassan I, et al. Acceptance and Utilisation of Modern Family Planning Methods among Women of Childbearing Age in Rural Communities of North-Eastern Nigeria. *West Afr J Med* [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2025 May 11];40(11 Suppl 1). Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37975218>
16. Machinda Z, Mbonile M, Mtae H. Determinants of Male Involvement in Family Planning Services in Tarime District, Tanzania. *Tanzania Journal for Population Studies and Development*. 2020;27(2).
17. Manortey S, Missah K. Determinants of Male Involvement in Family Planning Services: A Case Study in the Tema Metropolis, Ghana. *OAlib*. 2020;07(01).

18. Nike O, Damilola O, Temilola B, Olufunke M, Akin A. Determinants of Male Involvement in Family Planning Services among Male Teachers, South-West Nigeria. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Publications*. 2021;4(2).
19. Amuzie CI, Nwamoh UN, Ukegbu A, Umeokonkwo CD, Azuogu BN, Agbo UO, et al. Determinants of male involvement in family planning services in Abia State, Southeast Nigeria. *Contracept Reprod Med*. 2022;7(1).
20. Oyefabi A, Dambo H, Nwankwo B, Waje C, Kure S, Akabe J. Determinants of Male Involvement in Family Planning Decision making in a Rural Community in Northern Nigeria. *Journal of Community Medicine and Primary Health Care*. 2022;34(3).
21. Lawrence KA, Stephen AT, Ebi R, Guda Frederick N, Member N. Knowledge and Attitude of Males towards Family Planning Services in Niger State, Nigeria. Vol. 1, *JOURNAL OF SOCIOLOGICAL AND ANTHROPOLOGICAL STUDIES*. 2021.
22. Victoria AO, Moses AF, Ifeoluwapo AO. Perception and Attitude towards Involvement of Males in Family Planning Practices in Nigeria. *Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology*. 2023 Aug 24;15(1):20–30.
23. City Population. Ogbomoso North Local Government Area in Nigeria [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2025 May 20]. Available from: https://www.citypopulation.de/en/nigeria/admin/oyo/NGA031021__ogbomosho_north/
24. National Population Commission. Census Enumeration [Internet]. 2006 [cited 2025 Apr 6]. Available from: <http://nationalpopulation.gov.ng/census-enumeration>
25. Babbie E, Mouton J, Vorster P, Prozesky B. *The Practice of Social Research*. South African. Cape Town: Oxford University Press; 2012.
26. Cochran WG. *Sampling Techniques*. Third. New York: John Wiley & Sons.; 1977.
27. George D, Mallery P. *IBM SPSS Statistics 21 Step by Step: A Simple Guide and Reference*. Thirteenth. New York: Pearson; 2014.
28. Abubakar BG, Aliyu AA, Oche MO, Abdulaziz MD, Ezenwoko AZ, Babandi ZS. A Comparative Qualitative Survey of Male Involvement in Family Planning in Urban and Rural Communities of Sokoto State, Nigeria. *Journal of Community Medicine and Primary Health Care*. 2023;35(1).
29. Waqar A, Khan DrA, Ismail DrK. Family Planning: Factors Affecting Male's Decision Making in District Buner, KPK, Pakistan. *The Professional Medical Journal*. 2015;22(11).